

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/797,336 03/10/2004		03/10/2004	Larry A. Wilson	71,024-528	4303
59582	7590	05/04/2006	·	EXAMINER	
DICKINSC			GRAHAM, GARY K		
38525 WOO SUITE 2000		AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-2970				1744	
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/797,336	WILSON, LARRY A.	WILSON, LARRY A.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Gary K. Graham	1744			

	Gary K. Graham	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);	•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)	, ,,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		·	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 27-29. Claim(s) objected to: 25 and 26. Claim(s) rejected: 21-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	
		Gary K Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1744	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 7OL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claim 21 require further consideration. Applicant is now claiming particular staking in that such includes a mechanically deformed protuberance engaging the wiper element. While applicant states that amended claim 21 is nearly word-for-word identical to the terms used in claim 1 of the parent application, there are significant omissions from claim 21.